

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/637,962	08/11/2000	Lawrence H. Thompson	500731.01	8001
27076	7590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP			DEBERRY, REGINA M	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1420 FIFTH AVENUE			1647	
SEATTLE, WA 98101			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/637,962	THOMPSON, LAWRENCE H.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Regina M. DeBerry	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 9/15/2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension				
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) :ejected: <u>66-68,76-85 and 117-130</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	, , , , ,					
		i				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly amended claim 66 and claim 117 now recite "consisting essentially of Epoetin Omega". The specification as originally filed, does not provide support for the limitation "consisting essentially of Epoetin Omega". In addition, "consisting essentially of Epoetin Omega" is interpreted as being open claim language and thus fails to over come the Scope of Enablement rejection. The instant claims also raise possible art rejections because of the open language, thus requiring a further search/consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: If the amendment was entered, claims 66-68, 76-85 and 117-130 would stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, scope of enablement. The claims were scoped to "wherein the recombinant erythropoietin is Epoetin Omega" in the Final Rejection. The newly submitted claims fail to overcome the scope of enablement rejection because the claims now recite, "consisting essentially of Epoetin Omega" which is intepreted as open claim language, thus comprising more than Epoetin Omega.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER